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WHAT WAS STUDIED, HOW WAS IT STUDIED AND RESULTS:

**What:** Do children who receive early bi-bi home intervention (ASL/Deaf culture and English/hearing culture) perform better on measures of communication and language than matched children who get SKI-HI programming only? **How:** Grammatical Analysis of Elicited language: Pre-Sentence Level, Patterned Elicitation Syntax Test, SKI-HI Language Development Scale,

**What:** How does communication between children and family members who receive deaf mentor treatment differ from the communication between children and families who do not receive deaf mentor treatment? **How:** Communication Data Sheet

**What:** How do perceptions and attitudes concerning deafness and the child who is deaf differ between parents who receive deaf mentor treatment and those who do not? **How:** The Deafness Perception Survey

HOW THIS INFORMATION MAY BE USEFUL TO YOU AND YOUR CHILD: Look into deaf mentoring options for language gains and higher measure of communication, language, and English syntax

WHO WAS STUDIED:

- Number of children: 18 children in the Utah Deaf Mentor Program and 18 children in the Tennessee Infant Program Services (TIPS)
- Age of children included – preference birth – 5 years: Average age at project start: 27.2 months (Utah) and 28.6 months (TIPS)
- All Hearing Levels: Average hearing level was 94.4dB (Utah) and 84.9 dB (TIPS)
- Number of participants in the study: 36
- Area Studied: Language, social-emotional

WHAT STILL REMAINS TO BE ANSWERED: It is not known if and to what degree increases in sign use by Utah parents contributed directly to the children's performance on the English-based tests.

WHERE CAN I FIND MORE INFORMATION:
